



# PROPOSAL EVALUATION

## IRWM Grant Program – Local Groundwater Assistance, FY 2012-2013

<b>Applicant</b>	San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District	<b>County</b>	Monterey
<b>Project Title</b>	Analysis of Groundwater Elevation Management Alternatives	<b>Grant Request</b>	\$ 249,210.00
		<b>Total Project Cost</b>	\$ 273,310.00

**Project Description:** The Proposal provides the necessary information for five groundwater management alternatives through analysis of a groundwater model to reduce the net groundwater use in the Basin.

### Evaluation Summary:

Scoring Criterion	Score
GWMP or Program	5
Technical Adequacy of Work to be Performed	5
Work Plan	8
Budget	4
Schedule	5
QA/QC	5
Past Performance	5
Geographical Balance	0
<b>Total Score</b>	<b>37</b>

- **GWMP or Program:** This criterion is fully addressed with thorough and well-presented documentation. The Board of Supervisors of the San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District adopted the Paso Robles GWMP on March 12, 2012. A copy of Resolution 2012-73 is provided in the application.
- **Technical Adequacy of Work to be Performed:** This criterion is fully addressed with thorough and well-presented documentation and logical rationale. The application includes a complete and detailed description of the proposed project, including goals and objectives, needed facilities, area affected, and collaboration with other local public agencies. The applicant sufficiently demonstrates project need and merit, the acquisition of new knowledge and improvement of groundwater management, and how the proposed project (ongoing use) will be funded.
- **Work Plan:** This criterion is not fully addressed with thorough and well-presented documentation and logical rationale. The work plan includes a complete and detailed description of the work to be completed and includes nearly all of the related products and deliverables. For Task 5, Public Outreach and Stakeholder Involvement, details of related deliverables are absent. For Task 6, Technical Review QA/QC, details are absent related to schedule and deliverables. The tasks are consistent with the schedule and budget and reasonably support the objectives of the proposal. The proposed tasks sufficiently relate to improving groundwater management and support the related GWMP. The application includes a sound proposal for evaluating progress and performance of the project, and sufficiently addresses any needs for access to private property, compliance with CEQA, and other regulatory requirements, where applicable. The work plan includes a description of how interested parties, stakeholders, and the general public can obtain information and results of the proposed project.
- **Budget:** This criterion is not fully addressed with thorough and well-presented documentation and logical rationale. The proposed budget includes sufficient details to support a cost-effective means to meet project objectives. A narrative is included that summarizes how the budget estimate was developed. With one exception, cost share and grant share amounts are included for each task and are consistent with and supported by the work plan and schedule. Task 5.1 includes a \$1000 direct cost which is not explained/supported in the budget narrative or in the work plan for the related task. Other sources of funding were identified for each task, if applicable.



# PROPOSAL EVALUATION

## *IRWM Grant Program – Local Groundwater Assistance, FY 2012-2013*

---

- **Schedule:** This criterion is fully addressed with thorough and well-presented documentation and logical rationale. The schedule includes realistic timelines for the work to be performed and is aligned with the work tasks and budget. The applicant provides appropriate and sufficient detail for each task timeline and defines how the schedule was derived. The start and end dates of the project are within the designated time frame. The applicant describes when they expect to proceed with the project when grant funding becomes available, and identified known obstacles or expectations for delays. The schedule includes a date for adoption of a GWMP within two years after the applicant's resolution of intention to prepare a GWMP, if applicable.
- **QA/QC:** This criterion is fully addressed with thorough and well-presented documentation and logical rationale. The Quality assurance Program includes well-defined project specific data quality objectives and appropriate QA/QC measures for each relevant task. The QA/QC plan is consistent and incorporated into the project work plan.
- **Past Performance:** This criterion is fully addressed with thorough and well-presented documentation. The applicant demonstrates the capability of performing high quality work, managing funds, and meeting deadlines for similar types of projects. The applicant provides a summary of their performance over the past five years for work comparable to that in their work plan. The applicant provides assurances that they are within the terms of an existing LGA grant agreement, if applicable.